Government has also introduced a Judges (Inquiry) Bill, 2006 in the Lok Sabha on 19.12.2006 which envisages setting up of a National Judicial Council to examine the complaints against Judges of the Supreme Court and the High Courts. The Bill is currently being examined by the Department related Parliamentary Standing Committee. There is no proposal at present to bring any Constitution Amendment Bill in this regard.

(e) There is no proposal to set up National Judicial Pay Commission.

Supreme Court's verdict on laws placed in Ninth schedule

60. SHRI K. CHANDRAN PILLAI: Will the Minister of LAW AND JUSTICE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the recent Supreme Court verdict holds that all laws in the Ninth schedule are subject to judicial review;

(b) whether Government have analysed the implications of the verdict;

(c) if so, details thereof;

(d) whether, Government contemplate a new legislation in this regard; and

(e) if so, Government's response thereto?

THE MINISTER OF LAW AND JUSTICE (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) to (e) The Government is analysing the judgement and will take appropriate decision as to whether any new legislation will be required in this regard.

Policy on captive mining

†61. SHRI SATYAVRAT CHATURVEDI:
SHRI MOTILAL VORA:

Will the Minister of MINES be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Committee constituted by the Planning Commission has recommended that the captive iron ore mines should be allotted to the steel plants established by 1st July, 2006.

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(b) the number of iron and steel plants under construction as on 1st July, 2006; and

(c) the recommendations of Committee on providing captive mines to these under construction iron and steel plants and the reaction of Government thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF MINES (DR. T. SUBBARAMI REDDY): (a) to (c) The recommendations of High Level Committee are under consideration of the Government. The Information on the number of iron and steel plants under construction as on 1st July, 2006 is being collected and will be laid down on the Table of the House.

Demands against recommendations of Hoda Committee

†62. SHRI DATTA MEGHE: Will the Minister of MINES be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that some States have made a demand against recommendations of Hoda Committee that the privilege of awarding mining lease to any special company should rest with the States itself;

(b) if so, the details of decision taken by Government in this regard;

(c) whether these States have also demanded that only such companies should be given priority in allotment of mining lease which install their plants in these States; and

(d) if so, the decision taken by Government in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF MINES (DR. T. SUBBARAMI REDDY): (a) to (d) The Hoda Committee has recommended that the provisions in the Mines and Minerals(Development & Regulations) Act, 1957 which grants overriding discretion to the State Government to bypass the priority principle in any situation shodul be deleted. Few State Governments have requested that powers to consider a later applicant who has invested/proposed to invest in the mineral based industry in the State for grant of mineral concession should continue. The same are under consideration of the Government.

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.